

**PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**  
**SITE NO. 3, BLOCK B, SECTOR 18-A MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGARH**

**Petition No. 68 of 2021**  
**Date of Order: 30.12.2021**

Petition for ARR for FY 2022-23, Annual Performance Review for FY 2021-22 and True-up for FY 2020-21, under Section 62, 64 and 86 of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 11,12, 61 and 63 of PSERC (Terms and Conditions of Determination of Generation, Transmission, Wheeling and Retail Supply Tariff) Regulations, 2019, as amended from time to time.

In the matter of: Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, having its registered office at Shakti Vihar, Patiala, Punjab.

...Petitioner

Present: Ms. Anjali Chandra, Member  
Sh. Paramjeet Singh, Member

**Order**

Vide Order dated 14.12.2021 PSPCL was directed to reply to the deficiencies as mentioned in the Order and submit the additional information/clarifications. PSPCL has submitted reply to the deficiencies vide memo No. 922 dated 24.12.2021. However, some information and clarification is still pending and PSPCL shall submit the pending information/clarifications as well as the additional information and clarifications as under:

**A. The pending Information/clarifications required from PSPCL is as under:**

1. As per Regulation 57 of the MYT Tariff Regulations, 2019, PSPCL is required to submit the tariff proposal for meeting the revenue gap for each year. In this regards PSPCL is required to submit the Tariff Proposal for FY 2022-23.
2. The certificate issued by Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) for FY 2020-21.
3. Cost Audit Report for FY 2020-21.
4. Actual scheme wise Capital expenditure along with capitalization as per para 10.1 of the Commission's Order dated 14.12.2021. PSPCL is also directed to submit pending information / clarification of the Commission's letter No. 5821

dated 09.12.2021 with regards to True up of Capital Expenditure of 1st MYT Control Period (FY 2017-18 to FY 2019-20).

5. AP ledger data (all AP feeders) for FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 (H1): PSPCL submitted that it is attached as Annexure-4 but the requisite information is missing in Annexure-4 (both hard copy & CD).
6. Consumer wise meter readings of metered AP connections on urban feeders: PSPCL submitted that it is attached as Annexure-5 but the requisite information is missing in Annexure-5 (both hard copy & CD).

**B. The additional Information/clarifications required from PSPCL is as under:**

1. In reply dated 24.12.2021, PSPCL has submitted that no consumption is being recorded against 1 no. Railway Connection, however in format D24 submitted by PSPCL along with the Petition, PSPCL has shown consumption of 4 MU and in Annexure -28 of the reply PSPCL has shown consumption of 0.03 MU. PSPCL to reconcile the same.
2. In reply dated 24.12.2021, PSPCL has submitted that in Annexure-28 AP consumption of 0.36MU of 74 consumers whereas AP consumption of 13051 MU has been submitted separately in petition. PSPCL needs to clarify the same.
3. PSPCL in Annexure 8 of the reply has submitted the power purchase cost of Rs. 9598.82 Crore FY 2021-22 (H2) & Rs. 23991.76 Crore for FY 2021-22 (Total). However, in Format D3 FY 2021-22(H1) submitted along with petition PSPCL has submitted Power Purchase cost of Rs. 14361.99 Crore FY 2021-22 (H1). The total of H1 and H2 ( $14361.99+9598.82=23960.81$ ) does not match with the total submitted by PSPCL. PSPCL to reconcile the same.
4. In reply to para 7.2, PSPCL submitted the power purchase cost as Rs. 23376 Crore whereas in Petition format D3, it has submitted the same as Rs. 23546 Crore. PSPCL to reconcile the same and in case any change in power purchase cost from Rs. 23546 Crore, break-up of PP cost be also submitted.
5. In reply dated 24.12.2021, PSPCL has submitted that PSPCL has released the amount of Rs. 20.5945 Crore out of Rs. 27.20 Crore toward Durgapur STPS and Rs. 31.1476 Crore out of Rs. 49.11 Crore toward Raghunathpur TPS after availing 25% rebate on fixed charges for the lockdown period. PSPCL to confirm whether the impact of 25% rebate amount has been considered in the power purchase cost of FY 2020-21.
6. In reply dated 24.12.2021, PSPCL has submitted the detailed break-up of prior period expenses amounting to Rs. 1604.03 Crore, however in format D3 FY 2020-21 PSPCL has claimed prior period expenses of Rs. 1603.14 Crore. Similarly for FY 2021-22 (H1) PSPCL has submitted the detailed break-up of

prior period expenses amounting to Rs. 160.78 Crore, however in format D3 FY 2020-21 PSPCL has claimed prior period expenses of Rs. 163.73 Crore. PSPCL to reconcile the difference.

7. In reply to query 17.2, PSPCL has submitted revenue from sale of power as Rs. 20476 Crore, however as in Annexure 28, PSPCL has submitted revenue from sale of power as Rs. 24013 Crore. PSPCL to explain the difference.
8. In reply to query 17.2, PSPCL has submitted revenue from outside state sale as Rs. 41 Crore, however in reply to query 5.4 PSPCL has submitted revenue from outside state sales as Rs. 34.06 Crore. PSPCL to reconcile and resubmit the same.
9. PSPCL to reconcile the revenue submitted in Format D24 of the petition and Annexure 28 of reply dated 24.12.2021 including rebates and surcharges. Also, PSPCL is to submit break up of surcharges and rebates.

The above information be provided within a week (through hard copy and soft copy) and the information as well as the reply thereto filed by PSPCL be also uploaded on the website of PSPCL and the Commission.

Sd/-

(Paramjeet Singh)  
Member

Sd/-

(Anjuli Chandra)  
Member

Chandigarh  
Dated: **30.12.2021**